arXiv:0804.1449v2 [math.GN] 19 Jul 2008

ON CHAINS IN H-CLOSED TOPOLOGICAL POSPACES

OLEG GUTIK, DUŠAN PAGON, AND DUŠAN REPOVŠ

Abstract. We study chains in an H-closed topological partially ordered space. We give sufficient conditions for a maximal chain L in an H-closed topological partially ordered space such that L contains a maximal (minimal) element. Also we give sufficient conditions for a linearly ordered topological partially ordered space to be H-closed. We prove that any H-closed topological semilattice contains a zero. We show that a linearly ordered H-closed topological semilattice is an H-closed topological pospace and show that in the general case this is not true. We construct an example an H-closed topological pospace with a non-H-closed maximal chain and give sufficient conditions that a maximal chain of an H-closed topological pospace is an H-closed topological pospace.

1. Introduction

In this paper all topological spaces will be assumed to be Hausdorff. We shall follow the terminology of [3, 6, 7, 8, 12, 15]. If A is a subset of a topological space X, then we denote the closure of the set A in X by $\operatorname{cl}_X(A)$. By a *partial order* on a set X we mean a reflexive, transitive and anti-symmetric binary relation $\leqslant$ on X. If the partial order $\leqslant$ on a set X satisfies the following linearity law

$$\text{if } \ x, y \in X, \ \text{ then } \ x \leqslant y \ \text{ or } \ y \leqslant x,$$

then it is said to be a *linear order*. We write $x < y$ if $x \leqslant y$ and $x \neq y$, $x \geqslant y$ if $y \leqslant x$, and $x \nleqslant y$ if the relation $\leqslant y$ is false. Obviously if $\leqslant$ is a partial order or a linear order on a set X then so is $\geqslant$. A set endowed with a partial order (resp., linear order) is called a *partially ordered* (resp., *linearly ordered*) set. If $\leqslant$ is a partial order on X and A is a subset of X then we denote

$$\downarrow\! A = \{y \in X \mid y \leqslant x \ \text{ for some } \ x \in A\} \quad \text{and} \quad \uparrow\! A = \{y \in X \mid x \leqslant y \ \text{ for some } \ x \in A\}.$$

Also for any elements a, b of a partial ordered set X such that $a \leqslant b$ we denote $\uparrow a = \uparrow\{a\}$, $\downarrow a = \downarrow\{a\}$, $[a, b] = \uparrow a \cap \downarrow b$ and $[a, b) = [a, b] \setminus \{b\}$. A subset A of a partially ordered set X is called *increasing* (*decreasing*) if $A = \uparrow A$ ($A = \downarrow A$).

A partial order $\leqslant$ on a topological space X is said to be *lower* (*upper*) *semicontinuous* provided, that whenever $x \nleqslant y$ ($y \nleqslant x$) in X, then there exists an open set $U \ni x$ such that if $a \in U$ then $a \nleqslant y$ ($y \nleqslant a$). A partial order is called *semicontinuous* if it is both upper and lower semicontinuous. Next, it is said to be *continuous* or *closed* provided, that whenever $x \nleqslant y$ in X, there exist open sets $U \ni x$ and $V \ni y$ such that if $a \in U$ and $b \in V$ then $a \nleqslant b$. Clearly, the statement that the partial order $\leqslant$ on X is semicontinuous is equivalent to the assertion that $\uparrow a$ and $\downarrow a$ are closed subsets of X for each $a \in X$. A topological space equipped with a continuous partial order is called a *topological partially ordered space* or shortly *topological pospace*. A partial order $\leqslant$ on a topological space X is continuous if and only if the graph of $\leqslant$ is a closed subset in $X \times X$ [15, Lemma 1]. Also a semicontinuous linear order on a topological space is continuous [15, Lemma 3].

Date: July 19, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 06B30, 54F05. Secondary 06F30, 22A26, 54G12, 54H12.

Key words and phrases. H-closed topological partially ordered space, chain, maximal chain, topological semilattice, regularly ordered pospace, MCC-chain, scattered space.

A *chain* of a partially ordered set X is a subset of X which is linearly ordered with respect to the partial order. A *maximal chain* is a chain which is properly contained in no other chain. The Axiom of Choice implies the existence of maximal chains in any partially ordered set. Every maximal chain in a topological pospace is a closed set [15, Lemma 4].

An element y in a partially ordered set X is called *minimal* (resp. *maximal*) in X whenever $x \leqslant y$ (resp. $y \leqslant x$) in X implies $y \leqslant x$ (resp. $x \leqslant y$). Let X and Y be partially ordered sets. A map $f\colon X \to Y$ is called *monotone* (or *partially order preserving*) if $x \leqslant y$ implies $f(x) \leqslant f(y)$ for any $x, y \in X$.

A Hausdorff topological space X is called *H-closed* if X is a closed subspace of every Hausdorff space in which it is contained [1, 2]. A Hausdorff pospace X is called *H-closed* if X is a closed subspace of every Hausdorff pospace in which it is contained. Obviously that the notion of H-closedness is a generalization of compactness. For any element x of a compact topological pospace X there exists a minimal element $y \in X$ and a maximal element $z \in X$ such that $y \leqslant x \leqslant z$ [8]. Every chain in a compact topological pospace is a compact subset and hence it contains minimal and maximal elements. Also for any point x of a compact topological pospace X there exists a base at x which consists of open order-convex subsets [12] (A non-empty set A of a partially ordered set is called *order-convex* if A is an intersection of increasing and decreasing subsets). We are interested in the following question: *Under which condition an H-closed topological pospace has similar properties as a compact topological pospace?*

In this paper we establish chains in H-closed topological pospace. We give sufficient conditions on a maximal chain L in an H-closed topological pospace such that L contains the maximal (minimal) element. Also we give sufficient conditions on a linearly ordered topological pospace to be H-closed. We prove that every H-closed topological semilattice contains a zero. We show that a linearly ordered H-closed topological semilattice is an H-closed topological pospace and show that in the general case it is not true. We construct an example an H-closed topological pospace with a non-H-closed maximal chain and give sufficient conditions that a maximal chain of an H-closed topological pospace is an H-closed topological pospace.

2. ON MAXIMAL AND MINIMAL ELEMENTS OF MAXIMAL CHAINS IN H-CLOSED TOPOLOGICAL POSPACES

A chain L of a partially ordered set X is called *down-directed* (resp. *up-directed*) in X if for any $x \in X$ there exists $l \in L$ such that $l \leqslant x$ (resp. $x \leqslant l$).

Theorem 2.1. *Any down-directed chain L of an H-closed topological pospace contains a minimal element of L.*

Proof. Suppose there exists an H-closed topological pospace X with a down-directed chain L such that L does not contain a minimal element in L.

Let $x \notin X$. We extend the partial order $\leqslant$ from X onto $X^* = X \cup \{x\}$ as follows:

1) $x \leqslant x$;
2) $x \leqslant y$ for each $y \in L$; and
3) $x \leqslant z$ for $z \in X \setminus L$ if and only if there exists $y \in L$ such that $y \leqslant z$.

Then by Lemma 1 [15] for any $a, b \in X$ such that $a \nleqslant b$ there exist open neighbourhoods $U(a)$ and $U(b)$ of the points a and b respectively, such that

$$U(a) = {\uparrow}U(a), \quad U(b) = {\downarrow}U(b), \quad \text{and} \quad U(a) \cap U(b) = \varnothing.$$

We define the family

$$\mathscr{U} = \{(U(a), U(b)) \mid a \nleqslant b,\ a \in X,\ b \in L\}$$

as follows: $U(a)$ is an open neighbourhood of a and $U(b)$ is an open neighbourhood of b such that

$$U(a) = {\uparrow}U(a), \quad U(b) = {\downarrow}U(b), \quad \text{and} \quad U(a) \cap U(b) = \varnothing.$$

We denote the topology on X by τ. On X^* we determine a topology τ^* as follows. For any point $y \in X$ the bases of topologies τ^* and τ at the point y coincide. For any $y \in X$ by $\mathscr{B}(y)$ we denote the base of the topology τ at the point y. We put

$$\mathscr{P}(x) = \big\{\{x\} \cup U(b) \mid (U(a), U(b)) \in \mathscr{U},\ a \nleqslant b,\ a \in X,\ b \in L\big\}$$

and

$$\mathscr{B}(x) = \{U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n \mid U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \mathscr{P}(x),\ n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}.$$

Obviously, the conditions (BP1)–(BP3) of [7] hold for the family $\{\mathscr{B}(y)\}_{y \in X^*}$ and hence $\mathscr{B}(x)$ is a base of a topology τ^* at the point x.

Further we shall show that $(X^*, \tau^*, \leqslant)$ is a topological pospace. Let $y \in X$. Then $y \notin {\downarrow}x$. We consider two cases $y \in L$ and $y \in X \setminus L$. In the first case we have $x < y$, and since L does not contain a minimal element, there exists $b \in L$ such that $b < y$. We put $W(x) = \{x\} \cup U(b)$, where $(U(y), U(b)) \in \mathscr{U}$. Obviously,

$$W(x) = {\downarrow}W(x), \quad U(y) = {\uparrow}U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad W(x) \cap U(y) = \varnothing.$$

Let $y \in X \setminus L$. Then there exists $b \in L$ such that $y \nleqslant b$. In the other case we have $y \leqslant a$ for all $a \in L$, a contradiction to the fact that L is a down-directed chain in X. Then we put $W(x) = \{x\} \cup U(b)$, where $(U(y), U(b)) \in \mathscr{U}$. Therefore we have

$$W(x) = {\downarrow}W(x), \quad U(y) = {\uparrow}U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad W(x) \cap U(y) = \varnothing.$$

Thus $(X^*, \tau^*, \leqslant)$ is a Hausdorff topological pospace which contains X as a dense subspace, a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies that L contains a minimal element. ☐

The proof of the following theorem is similar.

Theorem 2.2. *Any up-directed chain of an H-closed topological pospace contains a maximal element.*

A subset F of topological pospace X is said to be *upper* (resp. *lower*) *separated* if and only if for each $a \in X \setminus {\uparrow}F$ (resp. $a \in X \setminus {\downarrow}F$) there exist disjoint open neighbourhoods U of a and V of F such that U is decreasing (resp. increasing) and V is increasing (resp. decreasing) in X.

Theorem 2.3. *Any maximal upper separated chain L of an H-closed topological pospace X contains a minimal element of L.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an H-closed topological pospace X with a maximal upper separated chain L such that L does not contain a minimal element.

Let $x \notin X$. We extend the partial order $\leqslant$ from X onto $X^* = X \cup \{x\}$ as follows:

1) $x \leqslant x$;
2) $x \leqslant y$ for each $y \in L$; and
3) $x \leqslant z$ for $z \in X \setminus L$ if and only if there exists $y \in L$ such that $y \leqslant z$.

Then by Lemma 1 [15] we can to define the family

$$\mathscr{U} = \{(U(a), U(b)) \mid a \nleqslant b,\ a \in X,\ b \in L\}$$

as follows: $U(a)$ is an open neighbourhood of a and $U(b)$ is an open neighbourhood of b such that

$$U(a) = {\uparrow}U(a), \quad U(b) = {\downarrow}U(b), \quad \text{and} \quad U(a) \cap U(b) = \varnothing.$$

Since L is a upper separated chain for any $a \in X \setminus {\uparrow}L$ such that $a \nleqslant l$ for each $l \in L$, there exist an open neighbourhood $V_a(L)$ of L and an open neighbourhood $V(a)$ of a such that

$$V_a(L) = {\uparrow}V_a(L), \quad V(a) = {\downarrow}V(a), \quad \text{and} \quad V_a(L) \cap V(a) = \varnothing.$$

We define the family

$$\mathscr{V} = \{(V_a(L), V(a)) \mid a \nleqslant l \ \text{ for any } \ l \in L,\ a \in X \setminus {\uparrow}L\}$$

as follows: $V_a(L)$ is an open neighbourhood of the chain L and $V(a)$ is an open neighbourhood of the point a such that

$$V_a(L) = {\uparrow}V_a(L), \quad V(a) = {\downarrow}V(a), \quad \text{and} \quad V_a(L) \cap V(a) = \varnothing.$$

We denote the topology on X by τ. On X^* we determine a topology τ^* as follows. For any point $y \in X$ the bases of topologies τ^* and τ at the point y coincide. For any $y \in X$ by $\mathscr{B}(y)$ we denote the base of the topology τ at the point y. We put

$$\mathscr{U}(x) = \big\{\{x\} \cup U(b) \mid (U(a), U(b)) \in \mathscr{U},\ a \nleqslant b,\ a \in X,\ b \in L\big\},$$

$$\mathscr{V}(x) = \big\{\{x\} \cup V_a(L) \mid (V_a(L), V(a)) \in \mathscr{V},\ a \nleqslant l \ \text{ for any } \ l \in L,\ a \in X \setminus {\uparrow}L\big\},$$

$$\mathscr{P}(x) = \mathscr{U}(x) \cup \mathscr{V}(x), \qquad \text{and}$$

$$\mathscr{B}(x) = \{U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n \mid U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \mathscr{P}(x),\ n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}.$$

Obviously, the conditions (BP1)–(BP3) of [7] hold for the family $\{\mathscr{B}(y)\}_{y \in X^*}$ and hence $\{\mathscr{B}(y)\}_{y \in X^*}$ is a base of a topology τ^* at the point $y \in X^*$. Since the chain L does not contain a minimal element, every finite intersection of elements from the family $\mathscr{U}(x)$ contains infinitely many points from the chain L, and since every set of the family $\mathscr{V}(x)$ contains the chain L, we conclude that x is not an isolated point in (X^*, τ^*).

Further we shall show that $(X^*, \tau^*, \leqslant)$ is a topological pospace. Let $y \in X$. We consider two cases $y \in L$ and $y \in X \setminus L$. In the first case we have $x < y$, and since L does not contains a minimal element there exists $b \in L$ such that $b < y$. We put $W(x) = \{x\} \cup U(b)$, where $(U(y), U(b)) \in \mathscr{U}(x)$. Obviously,

$$W(x) = {\downarrow}W(x), \quad U(y) = {\uparrow}U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad W(x) \cap U(y) = \varnothing.$$

Let $y \in X \setminus L$. If $y \nleqslant x$, then there exists $b \in L$ such that $y \nleqslant b$. In other case we have $y \leqslant a$ for all $a \in L$, a contradiction to the maximality of the chain L. Then we put $W(x) = \{x\} \cup U(b)$, where $(U(y), U(b)) \in \mathscr{U}(x)$. Therefore we have

$$W(x) = {\downarrow}W(x), \quad U(y) = {\uparrow}U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad W(x) \cap U(y) = \varnothing.$$

If $x \nleqslant y$ then the definition of the family $\mathscr{V}(x)$ implies that there exist an open neighbourhood $V(x)$ of the point x in X^* and an open neighbourhood $V(y)$ of the point y in X^* such that

$$V(x) = {\uparrow}V(x), \quad V(y) = {\downarrow}V(y), \quad \text{and} \quad V(x) \cap V(y) = \varnothing.$$

Thus $(X^*, \tau^*, \leqslant)$ is a Hausdorff topological pospace which contains X as a dense subspace, a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies that L contains a minimal element. □

The proof of the following theorem is similar.

Theorem 2.4. *Any maximal lower separated chain L of an H-closed topological pospace X contains a maximal element of L.*

Similarly to [11, 13] we shall say that a topological pospace X is a *C_i-space* (resp. *C_d-space*) if whenewer a subset F of X is closed, ${\uparrow}F$ (resp. ${\downarrow}F$) is a closed subset in X. A maximal chain of a topological pospace X is called an *MCC_i-chain* (resp. an *MCC_d-chain*) in X if ${\uparrow}L$ (resp. ${\downarrow}L$) is a closed subset in X. Obviously, if a topological pospace X is a C_i-space (resp. C_d-space) then any maximal chain in X is an MCC_i-chain (resp. MCC_d-chain) in X.

A topological pospace X is said to be *upper* (resp. *lower*) *regularly ordered* if and only if for each closed increasing (resp. decreasing) subset F in X and each element $a \notin F$, there exist disjoint open neighbourhoods U of a and V of F such that U is decreasing (resp. increasing) and V is increasing (resp. decreasing) in X [9, 4]. A topological pospace X is *regularly ordered* if it is upper and lower regularly ordered.

Theorem 2.3 implies

Corollary 2.5. *Any maximal MCC_i-chain of an H-closed upper regularly ordered topological pospace contains a minimal element of L.*

Theorem 2.4 implies

Corollary 2.6. *Any maximal MCC_d-chain of an H-closed lower regularly ordered topological pospace contains a maximal element of L.*

3. Some remarks on H-closed topological semilattices

A topological space S that is algebraically a semigroup with a continuous semigroup operation is called a *topological semigroup.* A *semilattice* is a semigroup with a commutative idempotent semigroup operation. A *topological semilattice* is a topological semigroup which is algebraically a semilattice.

If E is a semilattice, then the semilattice operation on E determines the partial order $\leqslant$ on E:

$$e \leqslant f \quad \text{if and only if} \quad ef = fe = e.$$

This order is called *natural.* A semilattice E is called *linearly ordered* if the semilattice operation admits a linear natural order on E. The natural order on a topological semilattice E admits the structure of topological pospace on E (see: [8, Proposition VI-1.14]). Obviously, if S is a topological semilattice then $\uparrow e$ and $\downarrow e$ are closed subsets in S for any $e \in S$.

A topological semilattice S is called *H-closed* if it is a closed subset in any topological semilattice which contains S as a subsemilattice. Properties of H-closed topological semilattices were established in [5, 10, 14].

Theorem 3.1. *Every H-closed topological semilattice contains the smallest idempotent.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an H-closed topological semilattice E which does not contain the smallest idempotent. Let $x \notin E$. We put $E^* = E \cup \{x\}$, and extend the semilattice operation from E onto E^* as follows:

$$xx = xe = ex = x \qquad \text{for all} \quad e \in E.$$

Since E is a topological pospace, there exist by Lemma 1 [15] for any $a, b \in E$ such that $a \nleqslant b$ open neighbourhoods $U(a)$ and $U(b)$ of the points a and b respectively such that

$$U(a) = \uparrow U(a), \quad U(b) = \downarrow U(b), \quad \text{and} \quad U(a) \cap U(b) = \varnothing.$$

We define the family

$$\mathscr{U} = \{(U(a), U(b)) \mid a \nleqslant b, \ a, b \in X\}$$

as follows: $U(a)$ is an open neighbourhood of a and $U(b)$ is an open neighbourhood of b such that

$$U(a) = \uparrow U(a), \quad U(b) = \downarrow U(b), \quad \text{and} \quad U(a) \cap U(b) = \varnothing.$$

We denote the topology on E by τ. On E^* we determine a topology τ^* as follows. For any point $y \in E$ the bases of topologies τ^* and τ at the point y coincide. For any $y \in E$ by $\mathscr{B}(y)$ we denote the base of the topology τ at the point $y \in E$. We put

$$\mathscr{P}(x) = \{\{x\} \cup U(b) \mid (U(a), U(b)) \in \mathscr{U}, \ a \nleqslant b, \ a, b \in E\}$$

and

$$\mathscr{B}(x) = \{U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n \mid U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \mathscr{P}(x), \ n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}.$$

Obviously, the conditions (BP1)–(BP3) of [7] hold for the family $\{\mathscr{B}(y)\}_{y \in E^*}$ and hence $\mathscr{B}(y)$ is a base of a topology τ^* at the point $y \in E^*$.

We shall further show that (E^*, τ^*) is a topological semilattice. Let e be an arbitrary element of the semilattice E and let U be an arbitrary open neighbourhood of x in E^* such that $e \notin U$. Since the semilattice E does not contain the smallest idempotent, such open neighbourhood U of the point x exists in E^*. Otherwise we put $V = U \cap U(b)$, where $b \in U$, $b < e$, and $(U(e), U(b)) \in \mathscr{U}$. Thus $V = \downarrow V$ and $e \notin V$. Then there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n \in E$, $a_1 \nleqslant b_1, \ldots, a_n \nleqslant b_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, and open neighbourhoods $U(a_1), \ldots, U(a_n), U(b_1), \ldots, U(b_n)$ of the points $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n$ in E^*, respectively, such that

1) $U(a_1)={\uparrow}U(a_1),\ldots,U(a_n)={\uparrow}U(a_n)$;
2) $U(b_1)={\downarrow}U(b_1),\ldots,U(b_n)={\downarrow}U(b_n)$;
3) $U(a_1)\cap U(b_1)=\varnothing,\ldots,U(a_n)\cap U(b_n)=\varnothing$; and
4) $U=U(a_1)\cap\ldots U(a_n)$.

Let $b_0\in U\setminus\{x\}$ such that $b_0<e$. Such element b_0 exists since the semilattice E does not contain the smallest idempotent. Since E is a topological semilattice there exist an open neighborhood $V(e)$ of the point e and open neighbourhoods $W(b_0)$ and $V(b_0)$ of the point b_0 such that

$$V(e)V(b_0)\subseteq W(b_0)\subseteq U.$$

Then there exist an open neighbourhood $U(e)$ of the point e and open neighbourhood $U(b_0)$ of the point b_0 such that $(U(e),U(b_0))\in\mathscr{U}$. We put $O(e)=U(e)\cap V(e)$ and $W=U\cap U(b_0)$. Since E is a topological semilattice and $W={\downarrow}W$, we have

$$O(e)W\subseteq W\subseteq U.$$

Obviously $W\in\mathscr{B}(x)$.

Therefore (E^*,τ^*) is a topological semilattice which contains E as a dense subsemilattice, a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies the assertion of the theorem. □

Proposition 3.2. *Every linearly ordered topological pospace admits a structure of a topological semilattice.*

Proof. Let X be a linearly ordered topological pospace and let $\leqslant$ be a linear order on X. We define the semilattice operation "$*$" on X as follows:

$$x*y=y*x=x \qquad \text{if} \quad x\leqslant y \quad (x,y\in X).$$

Since $\leqslant$ is a linear order on X, "$*$" is a semilattice operation on X.

We observe that if $A\subseteq X$, then $A*A=A$. Let $x,y\in X$ be such that $x<y$ holds. Then $x*y=x$, and since X is a topological pospace, there exist neighbourhoods $U(x)$ and $U(y)$ of the points x and y in X, respectively, such that

$$U(x)={\downarrow}U(x), \quad U(y)={\uparrow}U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad U(x)\cap U(y)=\varnothing.$$

Let $V(x)$ be an arbitrary open neighbourhood of the point x in X. We put $W(x)=V(x)\cap U(x)$. Since X is a linearly ordered set we have

$$U(y)*W(x)=W(x)*U(y)=W(x)\subseteq V(x).$$

Therefore $(X,*)$ is a topological semilattice. □

Proposition 3.2 implies

Proposition 3.3. *A linearly ordered topological semilattice is H-closed if and only if it is H-closed as a topological pospace.*

A linearly ordered topological semilattice E is called *complete* if every non-empty subset of S has inf and sup.

In [10] Gutik and Repovš proved the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. *A linearly ordered topological semilattice E is H-closed if and only if the following conditions hold:*

(*i*) *E is complete;*
(*ii*) *$x=\sup A$ for $A={\downarrow}A\setminus\{x\}$ implies $x\in\operatorname{cl}_E A$, whenever $A\neq\varnothing$; and*
(*iii*) *$x=\inf B$ for $B={\uparrow}B\setminus\{x\}$ implies $x\in\operatorname{cl}_E B$, whenever $B\neq\varnothing$*

Propositions 3.2, 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 imply the following:

Corollary 3.5. *A linearly ordered topological pospace X is H-closed if and only if the following conditions hold:*

(*i*) *X is a complete semilattice with the respect to the partial order on X;*
(*ii*) *$x = \sup A$ for $A = {\downarrow}A \setminus \{x\}$ implies $x \in \operatorname{cl}_X A$, whenever $A \neq \varnothing$; and*
(*iii*) *$x = \inf B$ for $B = {\uparrow}B \setminus \{x\}$ implies $x \in \operatorname{cl}_X B$, whenever $B \neq \varnothing$*

A semilattice S is called *algebraically closed* (or *absolutely maximal*) if S is a closed subsemilattice in any topological semilattice which contains S as a subsemilattice [14]. In [14] Stepp proved that a semilattice S is algebraically closed if and only if any chain in S is finite. Example 3.6 shows that the similar statement does not hold for an H-closed topological pospace.

Example 3.6. Let Y be an Hausdorff topological space with isolated points a and b. We put $X = Y \setminus \{a, b\}$. On Y we define a partial order $\leqslant$ as follows:

1) $x \leqslant x$ for all $x \in Y$;
2) $x \leqslant b$ for all $x \in Y$; and
3) $a \leqslant x$ for all $x \in Y$.

Obviously, $(Y, \leqslant)$ is a topological pospace, and moreover $(Y, \leqslant)$ is an H-closed topological pospace if and only if X is an H-closed topological space.

We observe that the following conditions hold:

1. The partial order $\leqslant$ on Y admits the lattice structure on Y:
   1) $b \vee x = b$ and $b \wedge x = x$ for all $x \in Y$;
   2) $x \wedge y = a$ and $x \vee y = b$ for all $x, y \in Y$; and
   3) $a \vee x = x$ and $a \wedge x = a$ for all $x \in Y$.

   Hence the topological pospace $(Y, \leqslant)$ is both up-directed and down-directed. Also we observe that the lattice operations $\vee$ and $\wedge$ are not continuous in Y.
2. The topological pospace $(Y, \leqslant)$ is both a C_d- and a C_i-space.
3. The topological pospace $(Y, \leqslant)$ is upper and lower regularly ordered.

A partially ordered set A is called a *tree* if ${\downarrow}a$ is a chain for any $a \in A$. Example 3.7 shows that there exists an algebraically closed (and hence H-closed) topological semilattice $\mathscr{A}(\tau)$ which is a tree but $\mathscr{A}(\tau)$ is not an H-closed topological pospace.

Example 3.7. Let X be a discrete infinite space of cardinality τ and let $\mathscr{A}(\tau)$ be the one-point Alexandroff compactification of X. We put $\{\alpha\} = \mathscr{A}(\tau) \setminus X$ and fix $\beta \in X$. On $\mathscr{A}(\tau)$ we define a partial order $\leqslant$ as follows:

1) $x \leqslant x$ for all $x \in \mathscr{A}(\tau)$;
2) $\beta \leqslant x$ for all $x \in \mathscr{A}(\tau)$; and
3) $x \leqslant \alpha$ for all $x \in \mathscr{A}(\tau)$.

The partial order $\leqslant$ induces a semilattice operation '$*$' on $\mathscr{A}(\tau)$:

1) $x * x = x$ for all $x \in \mathscr{A}(\tau)$;
2) $\beta * x = x * \beta = \beta$ for all $x \in \mathscr{A}(\tau)$;
3) $\alpha * x = x * \alpha = x$ for all $x \in \mathscr{A}(\tau)$; and
4) $x * y = y * x = \beta$ for all distinct $x, y \in X$.

Since X is a discrete subspace of $\mathscr{A}(\tau)$, X with induced from $\mathscr{A}(\tau)$ the semilattice operation is a topological semilattice. By [14, Theorem 9] X is an algebraically closed semilattice, and hence it is an H-closed topological semilattice. But X a dense subspace of $\mathscr{A}(\tau)$ and hence X is not an H-closed pospace.

4. LINEARLY ORDERED H-CLOSED TOPOLOGICAL POSPACES

Let C be a maximal chain of a topological pospace X. Then $C = \bigcap_{x \in C}({\downarrow}x \cup {\uparrow}x)$, and hence C is a closed subspace of X. Therefore we get the following:

Lemma 4.1. *Let K be a linearly ordered subspace of a topological pospace X. Then $\operatorname{cl}_X(K)$ is a linearly ordered subspace of X.*

Since the conditions (i)—(iii) of Corollary 3.5 are preserved by continuous monotone maps, we have the following:

Theorem 4.2. *Any continuous monotone image of a linearly ordered H-closed topological pospace into a topological pospace is an H-closed topological pospace.*

Also Proposition 4.3 follows from Corollary 3.5.

Proposition 4.3. *Let (X,τ_X) be an H-closed pospace of a linearly ordered topological pospace (T,τ_T). Then the set ${\uparrow}x\cap X$ (${\downarrow}x\cap X$) contains a minimal (maximal) element for any $x\in T$.*

A subset L of a linearly ordered set X is called a *L-chain* in X if ${\uparrow}x\cap{\downarrow}y\subseteq L$ for any $x,y\in L$, $x\leqslant y$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be a linearly ordered topological pospace and let L be a subspace of X such that L is an H-closed topological pospace and any maximal $X\backslash L$-chain in X is an H-closed topological pospace. Then X is an H-closed topological pospace.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that the topological pospace X is not H-closed. Then by Lemma 4.1 there exists a linearly ordered topological pospace Y which contains X as a non-closed subspace. Without loss of generality we can assume that X is a dense subspace of a linearly ordered topological pospace Y.

Let $x\in Y\setminus X$. The assumptions of the theorem imply that the set $X\setminus L$ is a disjoint union of maximal $X\backslash L$-chains L_α, $\alpha\in\mathscr{A}$, which are H-closed topological pospaces. Therefore any open neighbourhood of the point x intersects infinitely many sets L_α, $\alpha\in\mathscr{A}$.

Since any maximal $X\backslash L$-chain in X is an H-closed topological pospace, one of the following conditions holds:

$$ {\uparrow}x\cap L\neq\varnothing \qquad \text{or} \qquad {\downarrow}x\cap L\neq\varnothing. $$

We consider the case when the sets ${\uparrow}x\cap L$ and ${\downarrow}x\cap L$ are nonempty. The proofs in the other cases are similar.

By Proposition 4.3 the set ${\uparrow}x\cap L$ contains a minimal element x_m and the set ${\downarrow}x\cap L$ contains a maximal element x_M. Then the sets ${\uparrow}x_m$ and ${\downarrow}x_M$ are closed in Y and, obviously, $L\subset{\downarrow}x_M\cup{\uparrow}x_m$. Let $U(x)$ be an open neighbourhood of the point x in Y. We put

$$ V(x)=U(x)\setminus({\downarrow}x_M\cup{\uparrow}x_m). $$

Then $V(x)$ is an open neighbourhood of the point x in Y which intersects at most one maximal $S\backslash L$-chain L_α, a contradiction. Therefore X is an H-closed topological pospace. ☐

Corollary 4.5. *Let X be a linearly ordered topological pospace and let L be a subspace of X such that L is a compact topological pospace and any maximal $X\backslash L$-chain in X is a compact topological pospace. Then X is an H-closed topological pospace.*

Example 4.6. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all positive integers. Let $\{x_n\}$ be an increasing sequence in $\mathbb{N}$. Put $\mathbb{N}^*=\{0\}\cup\{\frac{1}{n}\mid n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ and let $\leqslant$ be the usual order on $\mathbb{N}^*$. We put $U_n(0)=\{0\}\cup\{\frac{1}{x_k}\mid k\geqslant n\}$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$. A topology τ on $\mathbb{N}^*$ is defined as follows:

a) any point $x\in\mathbb{N}^*\setminus\{0\}$ is isolated in $\mathbb{N}^*$; and
b) $\mathscr{B}(0)=\{U_n(0)\mid n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ is the base of the topology τ at the point $0\in\mathbb{N}^*$.

It is easy to see that $(\mathbb{N}^*,\leqslant,\tau)$ is a countable linearly ordered σ-compact locally compact metrizable topological pospace and if $x_{k+1}>x_k+1$ for every $k\in\mathbb{N}$, then $(\mathbb{N}^*,\leqslant,\tau)$ is a non-compact topological pospace.

By Corollary 4.5 $(\mathbb{N}^*, \leqslant, \tau)$ is an H-closed topological pospace. Also $(\mathbb{N}^*, \leqslant, \tau)$ is a normally ordered (or monotone normal) topological pospace, i.e. for any closed subset $A = {\downarrow}A$ and $B = {\uparrow}B$ in X such that $A \cap B = \varnothing$ there exist open subsets $U = {\downarrow}U$ and $V = {\uparrow}V$ in X such that $A \subseteq U$, $B \subseteq V$, and $U \cap V = \varnothing$ [12]. Therefore for any disjunct closed subsets $A = {\downarrow}A$ and $B = {\uparrow}B$ in X the exists a continuous monotone function $f \colon X \to [0, 1]$ such that $f(A) = 0$ and $f(B) = 1$ [12].

Example 4.6 implies negative answers to the following questions:

(i) Is a closed subspace of an H-closed topological pospace H-closed?
(ii) Does any locally compact topological pospace embed into a compact topological pospace?
(iii) Has any locally compact topological pospace a subbasis of open decreasing and open increasing subsets?

Example 4.7 shows that there exists a countably compact topological pospace, whose space is H-closed. This example also shows that there exists a countably compact totally disconnected scattered topological pospace which is not embeddable into a locally compact topological pospace.

Example 4.7. Let $X = [0, \omega_1)$ with the order topology (see [7, Example 3.10.16]), and let $Y = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} \mid n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ with the natural topology. We put $S = X \times Y$ with the product topology τ_p and the partial order $\preccurlyeq$:

$$(x_1, y_1) \preccurlyeq (x_2, y_2) \quad \text{if} \quad y_1 > y_2 \quad \text{or} \quad y_1 = y_2 \text{ and } x_2 \leqslant x_1.$$

We extend the partial order $\preccurlyeq$ onto $S^* = S \cup \{\alpha\}$, where $\alpha \notin S$, as follows: $\alpha \preccurlyeq \alpha$ and $\alpha \preccurlyeq x$ for all $x \in S$, and define a topology τ on S^* as follows. The bases of topologies τ and τ_p at the point $x \in S$ coincide and the family $\mathscr{B}(\alpha) = \{U_\beta(\alpha) \mid \beta \in \omega_1\}$ is the base of the topology τ at the point $\alpha \in S^*$, where

$$U_\beta(\alpha) = \{\alpha\} \cup \big([\beta, \omega_1) \times \{1/n \mid n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}\big).$$

Obviously, $(S^*, \preccurlyeq, \tau)$ is a Hausdorff non-regular topological pospace. Proposition 3.12.5 [7] implies that (S^*, τ) is an H-closed topological space. By Corollary 3.10.14 [7] and Theorem 3.10.8 [7] the topological space (S^*, τ) is countably compact. Since every point of (S^*, τ) has a singleton component, the topological space (S^*, τ) is totally disconnected.

Let A be a closed subset of $(S^*, \preccurlyeq, \tau)$ such that $A \neq \{\alpha\}$. Then there exists $x \in [0, \omega_1)$ such that $\tilde{A} = A \cap ([0, x] \times Y) \neq \varnothing$. Since $[0, x] \times Y$ is compactum, $\tilde{A}$ is a compact topological pospace, and hence $\tilde{A}$ contains a maximal element of $\tilde{A}$. Let x_m be a maximal element of $\tilde{A}$. Definition of the topology τ on S^* implies that ${\uparrow}x_m$ is an open subset in (S^*, τ). Then ${\uparrow}x_m \cap \tilde{A} = x_m$ and hence x_m is an isolated point of the space $\tilde{A}$ with the induced topology from (S^*, τ). Therefore every closed subset of (S^*, τ) has an isolated point in itself and hence (S^*, τ) is a scattered topological space.

Remark 4.8. The topological pospace $(\mathbb{N}^*, \leqslant, \tau)$ from Example 4.6 admits the structure of a topological semilattice:

$$ab = \min\{a, b\}, \qquad \text{for} \qquad a, b \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Also the topological pospace $(S^*, \preccurlyeq, \tau)$ from Example 4.7 admits the continuous semilattice operation

$$(x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2) = (\max\{x_1, x_2\}, \max\{y_1, y_2\}) \quad \text{and} \quad (x_1, y_1) \cdot \alpha = \alpha \cdot (x_1, y_1) = \alpha,$$

for $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $y_1, y_2 \in Y$.

The following example shows that there exists a countable H-closed scattered totally disconnected topological pospace which has a non-H-closed maximal chain.

Example 4.9. Let $X=\{1,2,3,\ldots\}$ be the positive integers with the discrete topology, and let $Y=\{0\}\cup\{\frac{1}{n}\mid n=1,2,3,\ldots\}$ with the natural topology. We put $T=X\times Y$ with the product topology τ_T and the partial order $\preccurlyeq$:

$$(x_1,y_1)\preccurlyeq(x_2,y_2) \quad \text{if} \quad y_1>y_2 \quad \text{or} \quad y_1=y_2 \text{ and } x_2\leqslant x_1.$$

We extend the partial order $\preccurlyeq$ onto $T^*=T\cup\{\alpha\}$, where $\alpha\notin T$, as follows: $\alpha\preccurlyeq\alpha$ and $\alpha\preccurlyeq x$ for all $x\in T$, and define a topology τ^* on T^* as follows. The bases of topologies τ^* and τ_T at the point $x\in T$ coincide and the family $\mathscr{B}(\alpha)=\{U_k(\alpha)\mid k\in\{1,2,3,\ldots\}\}$ is the base of the topology τ^* at the point $\alpha\in T^*$, where

$$U_k(\alpha)=\{\alpha\}\cup\big(\{k,k+1,k+2,\ldots\}\times\{1/n\mid n=1,2,3,\ldots\}\big).$$

It is obvious that $(T^*,\preccurlyeq,\tau^*)$ is a Hausdorff non-regular topological pospace. Proposition 3.12.5 [7] implies that (T^*,τ^*) is an H-closed topological space. Since every point of (T^*,τ^*) has a singleton component, the topological space (T^*,τ^*) is totally disconnected. The proof of the fact that (T^*,τ^*) is a scattered topological pospace is similar to the proof of the scatteredness of the topological pospace $(S^*,\preccurlyeq,\tau)$ in Example 4.7.

We observe that the set $L=(X\times\{0\})\cup\{\alpha\}$ with the induced partial order from the topological pospace $(T^*,\preccurlyeq,\tau^*)$ is a maximal chain in T^*. The topology τ^* induces the discrete topology on L. Corollary 3.5 implies that L is not an H-closed topological pospace.

Theorem 4.10 gives sufficient conditions on a maximal chain of an H-closed topological pospace to be H-closed. We shall say that a chain L of a partially ordered set P has a $\downarrow\!\cdot\,\max$-*property* ($\uparrow\!\cdot\,\min$-*property*) in P if for any $a\in P$ such that ${\downarrow}a\cap L\neq\varnothing$ (${\uparrow}a\cap L\neq\varnothing$) the chain ${\downarrow}a\cap L$ (${\uparrow}a\cap L$) has a maximal (minimal) element. If the chain of a partially ordered set P has $\downarrow\!\cdot\,\max$- and $\uparrow\!\cdot\,\min$-properties, then we shall call that L has a $\updownarrow\!\cdot\,\mathrm{m}$-*property.*

Similarly to [11, 13] we shall say that a topological pospace X is a CC_i-*space* (resp. CC_d-*space*) if whenewer a chain F of X is closed, ${\uparrow}F$ (resp. ${\downarrow}F$) is a closed subset in X.

Theorem 4.10. *Let X be an H-closed topological pospace. If X satisfies the following properties:*

- *(i) X is regularly ordered;*
- *(ii) X is CC_i-space; and*
- *(iii) X is CC_d-space,*

then every maximal chain in X with $\updownarrow\!\cdot\,\mathrm{m}$-property is an H-closed topological pospace.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is a non-H-closed chain L with $\updownarrow\!\cdot\,\mathrm{m}$-property in X. Then by Corollary 3.5 at least one of the following conditions holds:

- I) the set L is not a complete semilattice with the induced partial order from X;
- II) there exist a non-empty subset A in L with $x=\inf A$ such that $A={\uparrow}A\setminus\{x\}$ and $x\notin\operatorname{cl}_L(A)$;
- III) there exist a non-empty subset B in L with $y=\sup B$ such that $B={\downarrow}B\setminus\{y\}$ and $y\notin\operatorname{cl}_L(B)$.

Suppose that condition I) holds. Since topological space with dual order to $\leqslant$ is a topological pospace, without loss generality we can assume that there exists a subset S in L which does not have sup in L. Then the set ${\downarrow}S\cap L$ does not have sup in L either. Hence the set $I=L\setminus{\downarrow}S$ does not have inf in L. We observe that the maximality of L implies that there exist no $\inf I$ and $\sup S$ in such that $\sup S\leqslant\inf I$. Also we observe that properties $(ii)-(iii)$ of X and Corollaries 2.5, 2.6 imply that $I\neq\varnothing$. Therefore without loss of generality we can assume that $S={\downarrow}S\cap L$, $I={\uparrow}I\cap L$ and L is the disjoint inion of S and I.

Since the set S does not have sup in L, for any $s\in S$ there exists $t>s$, and hence there exist open neighbourhoods $U(s)$ and $U(t)$ of the points s and t respectively such that

$$U(s)={\downarrow}U(s),\quad U(t)={\uparrow}U(t),\quad \text{and} \quad U(s)\cap U(t)=\varnothing.$$

Therefore we conclude that S is an open subset of L and I is a closed subset of L. Similarly we get I is open in L and S is closed in L.

By Lemma 1 [15] for any $a, b \in X$ such that $a \nleqslant b$ there exist open neighbourhoods $U(a)$ and $U(b)$ of the points a and b respectively such that

$$U(a) = {\uparrow}U(a), \quad U(b) = {\downarrow}U(b), \quad \text{and} \quad U(a) \cap U(b) = \varnothing.$$

We define the families

$$\mathscr{S} = \{(U(a), U(b)) \mid a \nleqslant b,\ a \in S,\ b \in X\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{I} = \{(U(b), U(a)) \mid a \nleqslant b,\ b \in I,\ a \in X\}$$

as follows: $U(a)$ is an open neighbourhood of a and $U(b)$ is an open neighbourhood of b such that

$$U(a) = {\uparrow}U(a), \quad U(b) = {\downarrow}U(b), \quad \text{and} \quad U(a) \cap U(b) = \varnothing.$$

Let $x \notin X$. We extend the partial order $\leqslant$ from X onto $X^\star = X \cup \{x\}$ as follows:

1) $x \leqslant x$;
2) $x \leqslant a$ for each $a \in I$;
3) $x \leqslant z$ for $z \in X \setminus L$ if and only if there exists $e \in I$ such that $e \leqslant z$;
4) $b \leqslant x$ for each $b \in S$; and
5) $z \leqslant x$ for $z \in X \setminus L$ if and only if there exists $e \in S$ such that $z \leqslant e$.

We denote the topology on X by τ_X. On $X^\star$ we determine a topology $\tau^\star$ as follows. For any point $y \in X$ the bases of topologies $\tau^\star$ and τ_X at the point y coincide. For any $y \in X$ by $\mathscr{B}(y)$ we denote the base of topology τ_X at the point y. We put

$$\mathscr{S}(x) = \{\{x\} \cup U(a) \mid (U(a), U(b)) \in \mathscr{S},\ a \nleqslant b,\ a \in S,\ b \in X\},$$

$$\mathscr{I}(x) = \{\{x\} \cup U(b) \mid (U(b), U(a)) \in \mathscr{S},\ a \nleqslant b,\ b \in I,\ a \in X\},$$

$$\mathscr{P}(x) = \mathscr{S}(x) \cup \mathscr{I}(x), \qquad \text{and}$$

$$\mathscr{B}(x) = \{U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n \mid U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \mathscr{P}(x),\ n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}.$$

Obviously, the conditions (BP1)–(BP3) of [7] hold for the family $\{\mathscr{B}(y)\}_{y \in X^\star}$ and hence $\{\mathscr{B}(y)\}_{y \in X^\star}$ is a base of a topology $\tau^\star$ at the point $y \in X^\star$. Since the set I has not an inf, for finite many pairs $(U(b_1), U(a_1)), \ldots, (U(b_n), U(a_n)) \in \mathscr{I}(x)$ we have that the set $U(b_1) \cap \ldots \cap U(b_n) \cap I$ is infinite. Similarly, since the set S does not have a sup, for finite many pairs $(U(a_1), U(c_1)), \ldots, (U(a_n), U(c_k)) \in \mathscr{S}(x)$ we have that the set $U(a_1) \cap \ldots \cap U(a_k) \cap S$ is infinite. Therefore x is a non-isolated point of the topological space $(X^\star, \tau^\star)$.

Further we shall show that $(X^\star, \tau^\star, \leqslant)$ is a topological pospace. We consider three cases:

1) $y \in {\uparrow}I$;
2) $y \in {\downarrow}S$; and
3) $y \in X \setminus ({\uparrow}I \cup {\downarrow}S)$.

If $y \in {\uparrow}I$ we have $x \leqslant y$, and since the set I does not have an inf, there exist $a, b \in I$ such that $x \leqslant b < a \leqslant y$. Let $(U(b), U(a)) \in \mathscr{I}$. Then $U_b(x) = \{x\} \cup U(b)$ and $U(y) = U(a)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points x and y respectively such that

$$U_b(x) = {\downarrow}U_b(x), \quad U(y) = {\uparrow}U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad U_b(x) \cap U(y) = \varnothing.$$

If $y \in {\downarrow}S$ then we have $y \leqslant x$, and since the set S does not have a sup, there exist $a, b \in S$ such that $y \leqslant b < a \leqslant y$. Let $(U(a), U(b)) \in \mathscr{S}$. Then $U_a(x) = \{x\} \cup U(a)$ and $U(y) = U(b)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points x and y respectively such that

$$U_a(x) = {\uparrow}U_a(x), \quad U(y) = {\downarrow}U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad U_a(x) \cap U(y) = \varnothing.$$

Suppose $y \in X \setminus ({\uparrow}I \cup {\downarrow}S)$. Then $x \nleqslant y$. In the case ${\downarrow}y \cap L = \varnothing$ we put $s = \min L$, and since $s \nleqslant y$, there exist open neighbourhoods $U(s)$ and $U(y)$ of the points s and y respectively such that $(U(s),U(y))\in\mathscr{S}$. Then $U_s(x)=\{x\}\cup U(s)$ and $U(y)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points x and y respectively such that

$$U_s(x)={\uparrow}U_s(x),\quad U(y)={\downarrow}U(y),\quad\text{and}\quad U_s(x)\cap U(y)=\varnothing.$$

If ${\downarrow}y\cap L\neq\varnothing$ then since the chain L has $\updownarrow\cdot$ m-property, we put $t=\max({\downarrow}y\cap L)$. Then since the set S does not have a sup, there exists $m\in S\setminus{\downarrow}y$ such that $t\leqslant m$. Obviously, $m\nleqslant y$. Then there exist open neighbourhoods $U(m)$ and $U(y)$ of the points m and y respectively such that $(U(m),U(y))\in\mathscr{S}$. Then $U_m(x)=\{x\}\cup U(m)$ and $U(y)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points x and y respectively such that

$$U_m(x)={\uparrow}U_m(x),\quad U(y)={\downarrow}U(y),\quad\text{and}\quad U_m(x)\cap U(y)=\varnothing.$$

Suppose $y\nleqslant x$. Then by the statements dual to the previous statements we get that there exist open neighbourhoods $U(x)$ and $U(y)$ of the points x and y respectively such that

$$U(x)={\downarrow}U(x),\quad U(y)={\uparrow}U(y),\quad\text{and}\quad U(x)\cap U(y)=\varnothing.$$

Therefore we get that $(X^\star,\tau^\star,\leqslant)$ is a topological pospace and X is a dense subspace of $(X^\star,\tau^\star,\leqslant)$. This contradicts the assumption that X is an H-closed pospace.

Suppose that the statement II) holds, i. e., that there exists an open neighbourhood $O(x)$ of $x=\inf A$ such that $O(x)\cap A=\varnothing$. Since X is a topological pospace, for every $t\in L\setminus(A\cup\{x\})$ there exists an open decreasing neighbourhood $U(t)$ such that $x\notin U(t)$, and hence A is a closed subset of a topological space X.

Let $a\notin X$. We pu $X^\dagger=X\cup\{a\}$ and extend the partial order $\leqslant$ from X onto $X^\dagger$ as follows:

1) $a\leqslant a$;
2) $a\leqslant b$ for each $b\in A$;
3) $a\leqslant z$ for $z\in X\setminus L$ if and only if there exists $e\in A$ such that $e\leqslant z$;
4) $b\leqslant a$ for each $b\in L\setminus A$; and
5) $z\leqslant a$ for $z\in X\setminus L$ if and only if there exists $e\in L\setminus A$ such that $z\leqslant e$.

By Lemma 1 [15] we define the family

$$\mathscr{A}=\{(U(t),U(b))\mid b\nleqslant t,\, t\in A,\, b\in X\}$$

as follows: $U(t)$ and $U(b)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points t and b respectively such that

$$U(t)={\downarrow}U(t),\quad U(b)={\uparrow}U(b),\quad\text{and}\quad U(t)\cap U(b)=\varnothing.$$

Since X is a regularly ordered CC_i- and CC_d-space, we determine the family

$$\mathscr{V}=\{(V_t(A),V(t))\mid t\notin X\setminus{\uparrow}A\}$$

as follows: $V_t(A)$ and $V(t)$ are open neighbourhoods of the set A and the point t respectively such that

$$V_t(A)={\uparrow}V_t(A),\quad V(t)={\downarrow}V(t),\quad\text{and}\quad V_t(A)\cap V(t)=\varnothing.$$

We determine the topology $\tau^\dagger$ on $X^\dagger$ as follows. Let τ_X be the topology on X. For any point $y\in X$ the base of topologies $\tau^\dagger$ and τ_X at the point y coincide. For every $y\in X$ by $\mathscr{B}(x)$ we denote a base of the topology τ_X at the point y. We put

$$\begin{gathered}\mathscr{A}(x)=\{\{a\}\cup U(t)\mid(U(t),U(b))\in\mathscr{A},b\nleqslant t,\,t\in A,\,b\in X\},\\ \mathscr{V}(x)=\{\{a\}\cup V(A)\mid(V(A),V(t))\in\mathscr{V},\,t\in X\setminus{\uparrow}A\},\\ \mathscr{P}(x)=\mathscr{A}(x)\cup\mathscr{V}(x),\qquad\text{and}\\ \mathscr{B}(x)=\{U_1\cap\cdots\cap U_n\mid U_1,\ldots,U_n\in\mathscr{P}(x),\ n=1,2,3,\ldots\}.\end{gathered}$$

Obviously, the conditions (BP1)–(BP3) of [7] hold for the family $\{\mathscr{B}(y)\}_{y\in X^\dagger}$ and hence $\{\mathscr{B}(y)\}_{y\in X^\dagger}$ is a base of a topology $\tau^\dagger$ at the point $y\in X^\dagger$. Since for finitely many pairs $(U(t_1),U(b_1)),\ldots,(U(t_k),U(b_k))\in\mathscr{A}$ the intersection $U(t_1)\cap\ldots\cap(U(t_k)\cap A$ is an infinite set, a is a non-isolated point of the topological pospace $(X^\dagger,\tau^\dagger)$.

Further we shall show that $(X^\dagger,\tau^\dagger,\leqslant)$ is a topological pospace. We consider three cases:

1) $y \in {\uparrow}A$;
2) $y \in {\downarrow}(L \setminus A)$;
3) $y \in X \setminus ({\uparrow}A \cup {\downarrow}(L \setminus A)$.

If $y \in {\uparrow}A$ then we have $a \leqslant y$, and since the set A does not contain min, there exist $c, d \in A$ such that $a < c < d \leqslant y$. Let $(U(c), U(d)) \in \mathscr{A}$. Then $U_c(a) = \{a\} \cup U(c)$ and $U(y) = U(d)$ are open neighbourhoods of the point a and y respectively such that

$$U_c(a) = {\downarrow}U_c(a), \quad U(y) = {\uparrow}U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad U_c(a) \cap U(y) = \varnothing.$$

If $y \in {\downarrow}(L \setminus A)$ then we have $y \leqslant x < a$. Let $(V_x(A), V(x)) \in \mathscr{V}$. Then $V_x(a) = \{a\} \cup V_x(A)$ and $V(y) = V(x)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points a and y respectively such that

$$V_x(a) = {\uparrow}V_x(a), \quad V(y) = {\downarrow}V(y), \quad \text{and} \quad V_x(a) \cap V(y) = \varnothing.$$

Suppose $y \in X \setminus ({\uparrow}A \cup {\downarrow}(L \setminus A)$. Then $a \nleqslant y$. Let $(V_y(A), V(y)) \in \mathscr{V}$. Then $V_y(a) = \{a\} \cup V_y(A)$ and $V(y)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points a and y respectively such that

$$V_y(a) = {\uparrow}V_y(a), \quad V(y) = {\downarrow}V(y), \quad \text{and} \quad V_y(a) \cap V(y) = \varnothing.$$

Suppose $y \nleqslant a$. In the case ${\uparrow}y \cap A = \varnothing$ since by Corollary 2.6 $\max A$ exists, we put $s = \max A$. Then $y \nleqslant s$ and hence there exists $(U(s), U(y)) \in \mathscr{A}$. Then $U_s(a) = \{a\} \cup U(s)$ and $U(y)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points a and y respectively such that

$$U_s(a) = {\downarrow}U_s(a), \quad U(y) = {\uparrow}U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad V_s(a) \cap V(y) = \varnothing.$$

If ${\uparrow}y \cap A \neq \varnothing$ then since the chain L has ${\updownarrow}\cdot$m-property, we put $t = \min({\uparrow}y \cap L)$. Then since the set A does not contain a min, there exists $m \in A \setminus {\uparrow}y$ such that $m \leqslant t$. Obviously $y \nleqslant m$, and hence there exists $(U(m), U(y)) \in \mathscr{A}$. Then $U_m(a) = \{a\} \cup U(m)$ and $U(y)$ are open neighbourhoods of the points a and y respectively such that

$$U_m(a) = {\downarrow}U_m(a), \quad U(y) = {\uparrow}U(y), \quad \text{and} \quad V_m(a) \cap V(y) = \varnothing.$$

Therefore we get that $(X^\dagger, \tau^\dagger, \leqslant)$ is a topological pospace and X is a dense subspace of $(X^\dagger, \tau^\dagger, \leqslant)$. This contradicts the assumption that X is an H-closed pospace.

In case III) we get a similar contradiction to II).

The obtained contradictions imply the statement of the theorem. ☐

Remark 4.11. We observe that the topological pospace $(T^*, \preccurlyeq, \tau^*)$ from Example 4.9 is not regularly ordered and is not a CC_i-space. Also the topological pospace $(T^*, \preccurlyeq, \tau^*)$ admits the continuous semilattice operation

$$(x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2) = (\max\{x_1, x_2\}, \max\{y_1, y_2\}) \quad \text{and} \quad (x_1, y_1) \cdot \alpha = \alpha \cdot (x_1, y_1) = \alpha,$$

for $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $y_1, y_2 \in Y$. Therefore a maximal chain of an H-closed topological semilattice is not necessarily to be an H-closed topological semilattice.

Acknowledgements

This research was support by the Slovenian Research Agency grants P1-0292-0101-04, J1-9643-0101 and BI-UA/07-08/001.

References

[1] P. Alexandroff and P. Urysohn, *Sur les espaces topologiques compacts*, Bull. Intern. Acad. Pol. Sci. Sér. A (1923), 5—8.

[2] P. Alexandroff and P. Urysohn, *Mémoire sur les espaces topologiques compacts*, Vehr. Akad. Wetensch. Amsterdam **14** (1929).

[3] J. H. Carruth, J. A. Hildebrant and R. J. Koch, *The Theory of Topological Semigroups*, Vol. I, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1983; Vol. II, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1986.

[4] T. H. Choe and Y. S. Park, *Embedding ordered topological spaces into topological semilattices*, Semigroup Forum **17** (1979), 189—199.

[5] I. Chuchman and O. Gutik, *On H-closed topological semigroups and semilattices*, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics, no. **1** (2007), 13—23.
[6] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vol. I. Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, 1961; Vol. II. Amer. Math. Soc., Surveys 7, 1967.
[7] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, 2nd ed., Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
[8] G. Gierz, K. H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. D. Lawson, M. W. Mislove and D. S. Scott, *Continuous Lattices and Domains*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003.
[9] M. D. Green, *A locally convex topologies on a preordered space*, Pacific J. Math. **26**:3 (1968), 487—491.
[10] O. Gutik and D. Repovš, *On linearly ordered H-closed topological semilattices*, Semigroup Forum (to appear).
[11] S. D. McCartan, *Bicontinuous preordered topological spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **38**:2 (1971), 523—529.
[12] L. Nachbin, *Topology and Order*, D. van Nostrand Company, Inc., Princeton, 1965.
[13] H. A. Priestley, *Ordered topological spaces and the representaion of distribuve lattices*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **24** (1972), 507—520.
[14] J. W. Stepp, *Algebraic maximal semilattices*, Pacific J. Math. **58**:1 (1975), 243—248.
[15] L. E. Ward, Jr., *Partially ordered topological spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5**:1 (1954), 144—161.

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, IVAN FRANKO LVIV NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSYTETSKA 1, LVIV, 79000, UKRAINE
E-mail address: `o_gutik@franko.lviv.ua, ovgutik@yahoo.com`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS, AND FACULTY OF NATURAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARIBOR, JADRANSKA 19, LJUBLJANA, 1000, SLOVENIA
E-mail address: `dusan.pagon@uni-mb.si`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS, AND FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, P. O. B. JADRANSKA 19, LJUBLJANA, 1000, SLOVENIA
E-mail address: `dusan.repovs@guest.arnes.si`